IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.1647 OF 2005
IN
SECOND APPEAL NO.1170 OF 2005

.....

OFFICE NOTES, OFFICE MEMORANDUM OF CORAM, APPEARANCES, COURT'S ORDERS OR DIRECTIONS AND REGISTRAR'S ORDERS	COURT'S OR JUDGES ORDERS

.....

Ms Nayana Thatte for the Applicant.
Mr Machindra Patil for the Respondent Nos.1 and 2.

CORAM : A.S. OKA, J.
DATE : MARCH 10, 2006.

P.C.:

1. Heard the Advocate appearing for the Applicant. The Applicant is the Appellant in the Second Appeal. The Applicant is the original Defendant No.1 against whom decree for possession in respect of immoveable property has been passed. Second Appeal is already admitted for final hearing.

2. Accordingly, rule is made absolute in terms of prayer clause (a) subject to condition that the Applicant will not create any third party interests in respect of the suit property till final disposal of the Second Appeal.

JUDGE